इसी तरह से होते-होते 1989-90 में प्रोडक्शत होगा 850 लाख डोसेस और रिक्वायरमेंट होगी 800 की। इसलिए कोई चिन्ता की बात नहीं है। इस वेक्सीन की हद तक हम आत्म-निर्भर होंगे।

DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY: Sir, this is a connected question. The Japanese encephalitis is a killing disease and now it is in an epidemic form in the southern States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. Already 300 children have died because of this infection. And many children also have been incapacitated. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether there is any proposal to purchase the anti-Japanese encephalitis vaccine from Japan and supply it to the States.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Sir, this has nothing to do with the question but I would like to volunteer some information. Wherever there is incidence of Japanese encephalitis, as it happened in Assam recently, we are rushing whatever is possible to rush. We are also trying to obtain vaccines from abroad.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Mr. Chairman, Sir, whooping cough is a common disease with children. Just now the hon. Minister said that there is a proposal for manufacturing improved varieties of this anti-whooping cough vaccine. Sir, in the pharmaceutical industry, there is a wide margin of profit. Will the Government consider manufacturing this vaccine in the public sector so that it may be available at a very reasonable price to the consumers?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: We have not yet come to the stage of deciding in which sector it will be manufacured, if at all, because all the improved varieties as of now are still candidate vaccines in the sense that they have not been given field trials completely. They are still being tried. It is too early to think of manufacture now. There is a lot of ground to be traversed before that.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question No. 23.

Poor showing at Seoul Asiad by Indian contingent

*23. SHRI F. M. KHAN:
SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY:†

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVEPOLMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have examined the reasons for India's dismal showing at the 10th Asian Games at Seoul; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof and what concrete steps govenrment are taking to improve and train Indian athletes so as to improve their performance in *future*?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF YOUTH AFFAIRS AND SPORTS AND WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) and (b) A detailed report on various aspects of the participation of the Indian contingent in the X Asian Games is expected to be received from the Indian Olympic Association. On receipt of its report, measures required to be taken for the improvement of the performance of Indian sports, persons in international touraments in the future will be considered in depth by Government in consultation with experts in the field.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: Sir, the answer given by the hon. Minister is very disappointing.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I thought the performance was disappointing.

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: The performance was dismal.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: I would like to know from the hon. Minister what actually went wrong with our performane in the Games, whether it was lack of coaching or lack of training or

†The question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri B. Satyanarayan Reddy.

lack of selecting the right type of persons. Something went wrong, either in the selection or with the coaching or with the training itself. I would like to know whether the Government will take a serious view of this and improve the training, coaching and any other aspect to improve our standards in these games.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Sir, I can say, as I said earlier, that the actual analysis from the Indian Olympic Association which is the body which looks after the training and selection of sports-persons for the games, is still awaited. We have sent them reminders and they have promised to send them to us early enough. About the performance itself I do not wish to stand up and say somehing which is no based on facts and figures. I would like to say that our place in the last Games held at Delhi was fifth on the total tally; this time it is fourth...

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: It is amazing.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: Disraeli said there are three types of lies: lies, damned lies and statistics. I hope she will not repeat it.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: I am repeating statistics because I am giving figures as have been asked for ...

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: This is the prize quotation of the year.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: You have asked a question and let me answer it. Let me give our side of it... (*Interruptions*) Would you please listen to me?

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: The Minister deserves a gold medal.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: No, no; only bronze.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Alva, sports is a hilarious subject and, therefore, the House is in great hilarity.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Compared to what it was in the last Games which were held in Delhi the tally of medals was higher now; it was much higher than what it had been last time. So was it in 1951 Games which were held in Delhi. Compared to other Games that we participated in abroad, the tally of medals this time has been higher. These are facts which I have got to place before you. I do realise that it could have been much better. In fact, in many events, we have improved on our national marks of the past but we have not come up to the Asian Marks, we have not been able to win more medals. I agree with that. As far as future is concerned, as I said, we are awiaiting the report from the Indian Olympic Association. Based on that we will plan what is to be done immediately and on a long-term basis.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: It is really surprising: I do not know what to say. The Minister says we have improved a lot from Delhi to Seoul. We have spent crores of rupees in building stadia in Delhi for the last Asian Games with the purpose that our athletes could be trained and they would be provided all facilities, and they could come first in the world. In this House the Minister concerned told us at that time that it was not a waste of money but it was being spent in order to train the future athletes. But today instead of improving, we have shown a dismal failure....

MR. CHAIRMAN: If you put short questions, I can allow a large number of Members.

SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: All right, Sir. What I wanted to say was it is no consolation merely to say that we have gained something more than what we had done last time. I would like to know, therefore, what exactly happened, what was wrong with the whole affair.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Was there anything wrong? He assumes first that there was something wrong.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Wrong with the athletes or with the Games

or with what? We did have some problems. Since he has asked about it, for instance, there was a problem with the horses of the Equestran Federation which were rejected and not allowed to participate with the result that we ran into trouble. Then we had problems with certain equipment which cropped up at the last minute. But I don't say anytring was wrong nor do I want to blame the athletes because they have done their best with what we have given to the athletes. I would like the House to know that 155 training camps were organised for the ahtletes during the two years in the country—59 in 1985 and 96 in 1986 as preparation for the Games, ranging from four weeks to three months. The Government has given the best it could. We have had 19 foreign coaches who were brought to train the teams which were in the country at various times during those two years. There was every effort made. Besides that, I must say, all the necessary kits, equipment and other things which were never made available before were provided this time. But can say that the sports policy was decided in 1984, increased budget allocations to the Ministry came onlyyy after 1985 and the infrastructure in the country is still far below what we need for a country of this size and we are on the job of building it up at the moment.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Kalmadi.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATERJEE: Sir, why should be put a question? He was there. (*Interruptions*).

SOME HON. MEMBERS: He should not be allowed to ask any question. He was there. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI SHANKER SINH VAGHELA: Sir, he should not be allowed to put any question. He himself was there. How can he put a question? (*Interruptions*). He was there himself. What more information does he want now? (*Interruptions*).

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: I was there to get first-hand information ... (*Interruptions*).

MR. CHAIRMAN: May I decide whether you can put a question or not, Mr. Kalmadi? (*Interruptions*). Yes, put your question.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Sir, the problem is we are growing from game to game. (*Interruptions*). Now, Sir, we have different organisations. There is the NIS, Patiala, and there is the Sports Authority of India. There is the Federation. (*Interruptions*). I would like to know whether there is any co-ordination between these various organisations. I would like to know why organisations like these which should be under the Department of Sports and the Ministry of Education are not under them and why people who have retired are still heading these organisations. In spite of the assurance from the Minister, the person who is heading the Sports Authority of India is a retired person. He should have retired long back, but is still continuing. Then, Sir, I would also like to know why the Ministry changed in the last minute its stand of taking a small contingent. It was decided earlier that up to the first three they should send. But the Ministry changed its standard and decided to take up to the first six. These are my questions. Sir, to the Minister which I would like the Minister to answer.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Sir, I will start with the last question. He has spoken about the large contingent which went there. The criterion which was agreed to by the Ministry was for the first three places of the last Asian Games or the championships and that order still stands. After that, delegations from the Indian Olympic Association which, as you know, is an autonomous organisation looking after this, called on Shri P. V. Narasimha Raoji, and I would like to quote from their letter, with your permission, Sir, where they have pointed out that in no country in the world, in the field of sports is the selection criterion laid down by the Government of that country. They have said that this is the prerogative of the National Olympic Committee of the country and, therefore, it will be wrong

if the Government lays down a selection criterion and insists on its being followed by the National Olympic Committee. They have said that it was their prerogative and the Indian Olympic Association had decided that up to the sixth place it should be allowed and the Government had no business to stop them. This is the point We said that we are not prepared to change our criterion and that we would only pay for the first three places. They said that they would bear the cost and pay from the fourth to the sixth places and the Government need not be involved. It was at their own initiative and because they had insisted on including the other three places and since it was an autonomous organisation in the field of sports, we said, "We won't pay. You can pay and you can go.", and that is how the rest of them went.

Sir, as far as the various authorities are concerned, I do agree that having different authorities which are existing, now does create confusion and we are, as has already been announced by the Government, in the process of working out a device by which these bodies can be made perhaps to come under one kind of an integrated sort of authority under the Chairmanship of Shri P. V. Narasimha Raoji who now heads the Ministry. We hope we would be able to bring about that.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Sir, what about the retired people heading these organisations? That was my qestion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, please.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Sir, an assurance has already been given in this House earlier by Shri P. V. Narasimha Raoji that retired people will not be allowed to continue and the replacements are being made.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Veerendra Verma.

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : सभापति महोदय, गत एशियाड में 57 मेडल भारत की टीम ने जीते थे और इस वर्ष केवल 37। स्वर्ण पदक भी पिछली दफा जितने जीते थे अब की बार केवल पांच हैं और उस में भी 4 पी० टी० उषा के हैं और एक रेसलर का है। मंत्री जी जैसे उत्तर दे रहे हैं उससे ऐसा लगता है कि वे इस परफारमेंस को जस्टीफाई कर रहे हैं और वे इससे संतुष्ट हैं। मुझे इस बात का अफसोस है मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से अफसोस जाहिर करते हुए यह कहना चाहूंगा कि इससे जाहिर है कि वे सीरियस नहीं हैं कि अपनी परफारमेंस किस प्रकार से वे इम्प्रूव करेंगे क्योंकि यह पिछली दफा से गिरी है। जो उन्होंने अपने खर्च के आंकड़े बताए हैं कोरिया की आबादी कितनी है हिन्दुस्तान की आबादी 70 करोड़ के करीब है उस ने कितना खर्च किया इन गेम्स के ऊपर और 9वें एशियाड में उनकी क्या हैसियत थी। नवें एशियाड में भी उनकी हाकी की टीम खेली थी और अब की दफा उन्होंने गोल्ड मेडल हासिल किया है। मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि अपने देश के मुकाबले में खेल के ऊपर चीन, जापान और कोरिया द्वारा किये गए खर्च का क्या अनुपात है? मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि एथलीट्स की सेलेक्शन में, रेसलर्ज की सेलेक्शन में और खिलाड़ियों की सेलेक्शन में जो पोलिटिक्स है उसको दूर करें, इनके लिए कोचिंग की व्यवस्था कराएं और इन के ऊपर ठीक से खर्च करने की व्यवस्था करें तथा लगातार कोचिंग और ट्रेनिंग चलती रहे, ऐसा कुछ क्या आप करेंगे?

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: Sir, the Minister has already stated that we are now awaiting the report of the IOA. Now, it will be very difficult for us to deal with any one aspect during the Question Hour. I would welcome a discussion on this.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Let there be a discussion.

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: There is nothing to hide; there is nothing to conceal.

SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Who wants discussion? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI P. V. NARASIMHA RAO: It is very wrong to insinuate that the Government is satisfied. We have never said that. But, then, in the absence of certain statistics, satisfaction or dissatisfaction is not the question. Let the statistics come. Let the figures come whether we have improved or not improved, in what respect we have improved, and if we have not improved what we have done so far and what more needs to be done. These are matters which cannot be discussed in great detail during the Question Hour. Let us have a discussion. We are ready for that. We will come up with everything: what any other country is spending, and so on. All these figures can be brought before the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Sports are for playing, not for discussion. (*Interruptions*) Next Question.

Introduction of new trains

*24. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of new trains introduced in 1985 and so far during the current year;

(b) the total number of superfast trains in the country; and

(c) the number of superfast trains introduced in 1985 and so far during the current year?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) to (c) A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Statement

(a) Number of new trains introduced during 1985 and 1986 (up to October) is indicated below:—

	1985	1986
B.G. Mail/Express	2 pairs	6 pairs
B.G. Passenger	2 pairs 2 single trains	4 pairs
M.G. Mail/Express	1 pair	2 pairs
M.G. Passenger	1 pair	3 pairs
N.G. Mail/Express	—	1 pair
N.G. Passenger	—	2 pairs

(b) The total number of superfast trains in the country is 46.

(c) While no superfast train was introduced during 1985, two superfast trains have been introduced during 1986 (upto October, 1986), one between New Delhi, Guwahati in lieu of the erstwhile Assam Mail and another between Bilaspur-Bhopal.

DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: I would like to know from the Minister what is the number of super-fast trains on the metrre gauge and what is the number of superfast trains on the broad gauge.

SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA: Sir, most of the super-fast trains are run on the broad gauge system. I have a year-wise break-up of the number of trains introduced every year which I can hand over to the hon. Member, instead of reading it out.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Upendra.